

XVII - XI - MCMXIX.

Dear Frater Eulis:-

Further thoughts on the subject of Dr. Clymer have been the immediate cause of my writing again thus soon.

Primarily, I cannot see where he has any real authority at all, for since, as I understand, his possession of the various documents and historical matter relative to Dr. Randolph was simply by purchase, then he can have thereby no authority from the Masters nor from any earthly body, for all the psychic powers he may have are the result of his being a student in your Order. Am I right?

Of course, the ownership of these documents gives him a power by reason of the historical data they contain, but that is not by any means a psychic development.

I presume that you noticed in Mercury, Vol. 4, No. 16, an amendment to our High Council Constitution. This was done so as to recognize the affiliation into which the two bodies had entered previously.

With this in mind, I am going to suggest, with all due deference to your greater knowledge of the situation, that it might have been a good plan to have worded the proposed allegiance as follows:-

THE ROSE CROSS ORDER
OF THE
ROYAL FRATERNITIES ASSOCIATION
Acknowledges Allegiance To The
G R A N D C O U N C I L
Of The
SUPREME GRAND TEMPLE OF THE ROSY CROSS IN AMERICA
AND AFFILIATED HIGH COUNCILS
As The
SOVEREIGN SOURCES OF THE ROSICRUCIAN ART
And
SOVEREIGN BODIES OF THE ROSICRUCIAN BROTHERHOOD
The House of the S.:S.:
for this Jurisdiction is at
Present Situated
In The
City of Salem, Massachusetts.

If he signed such an allegiance as this, then he would automatically come under allegiance to our High Council also. This would dispose of the difficulty I wrote of, concerning there being more than one Sovereign Source or Body. Perhaps you can get the former paper returned and send him a copy of this wording in its place.

He can have no controversy with Frater K. as I understand that Frater K. has never written Dr. Clymer.

In the light of what you once told me as to your selecting the name of Frater K. from a list submitted to you by Frater Gould for the one who should be delegated to organize the Brotherhood, I have wondered why the two Orders should not have been one from that start, and why it was

XVII - XI - MCMXIX.

Dear Frater Eulis:-

Further thoughts on the subject of Dr. Clymer have been the immediate cause of my writing again thus soon.

Primarily, I cannot see where he has any real authority at all, for since, as I understand, his possession of the various documents and historical matter relative to Dr. Randolph was simply by purchase, then he can have thereby no authority from the Masters nor from any earthly body, for all the psychic powers he may have are the result of his being a student in your Order. Am I right?

Of course, the ownership of these documents gives him a power by reason of the historical data they contain, but that is not by any means a psychic development.

I presume that you noticed in Mercury, Vol. 4, No.16, an amendment to our High Council Constitution. This was done so as to recognize the affiliation into which the two bodies had entered previously.

With this in mind, I am going to suggest, with all due deference to your greater knowledge of the situation, that it might have been a good plan to have worded the proposed allegiance as follows:-

THE ROSE CROSS ORDER
OF THE
ROYAL FRATERNITIES ASSOCIATION
Acknowledges Allegiance To The
G R A N D C O U N C I L
Of The
SUPREME GRAND TEMPLE OF THE ROSY CROSS IN AMERICA
AND AFFILIATED HIGH COUNCILS
As The
SOVEREIGN SOURCES OF THE ROSICRUCIAN ART
And
SOVEREIGN BODIES OF THE ROSICRUCIAN BROTHERHOOD
The House of the S:.S:.
for this Jurisdiction is at
Present Situated
In The
City of Salem, Massachusetts.

If he signed such an allegiance as this, then he would automatically come under allegiance to our High Council also. This would dispose of the difficulty I wrote of, concerning there being more than one Sovereign Source or Body. Perhaps you can get the former paper returned and send him a copy of this wording in its place.

He can have no controversy with Frater K. as I understand that Frater K. has never written Dr. Clymer.

In the light of what you once told me as to your selecting the name of Frater K. from a list submitted to you by Frater Gould for the one who should be delegated to organize the Brotherhood, I have wondered why the two Orders should not have been one from that start, and why it was so long ere affiliation was accomplished. Perhaps you know?

Sincerely,